

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/506,375	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HEKSTRA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Mujtaba K. Chaudry	<b>Art Unit</b> 2133	

**All Participants:**

(1) Mujtaba K. Chaudry.

(2) Edward Goodman.

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 19 October 2006

**Time:** 11am est.

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*n/a*

Claims discussed:

12

Prior art documents discussed:

*n/a*


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*An agreement was reached in amending claim 12 to overcome non-statutory subject matter under 35 USC 101.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



10/19/06

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)